

# The Effects of Cannabidiol (CBD) use in Patients with Chronic Pain

Venita Roberson, DNP, FNP-C ([vrobersonrn@gmail.com](mailto:vrobersonrn@gmail.com)) (254-616-0487)

4530 English Ivy Dr Fortson, GA

## Background

**Chronic Pain Statistics**  
 20% of population worldwide  
 20% of doctor's visits annually  
 \$560-\$635 billion disbursed annually for chronic pain treatment and lost of productivity

**Opioids**  
 115 daily opioid deaths  
 33,000 estimated accidental opioid deaths  
 26-36 million people abuse opioids worldwide

(CDC, 2017, Argueta et al., 2020, Treede et al., 2015; Rivit & Ballantyne, 2016; Scholl et al., 2018, Dupont, 2018)

### Problem

Inappropriate use of opioids and the mismanagement and treatment of chronic pain has led to the opioid epidemic in the US (Argueta et al., 2020). Due to the addictive properties and opioid misuse, evidence suggests alternative pain methods are needed (National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health [NCCIH], 2016)

### Purpose

The purpose of this DSP is to examine the use of cannabinoids (CBD) in patients with chronic pain and determine if pain and frequency of opioid use will decrease.



## Objectives

### PICO

Can the use of CBD in conjunction with opioids reduce the amount of opioid use in patients with chronic pain?

### Anticipated Outcomes

Opioid use will decrease when taken in conjunction with CBD.  
 Pain levels will decrease with the use of CBD.

### Interventions

Participants enrolled in the project are currently using prescription opioids or seeking to use CBD. Participants were asked to complete the pre-assessment survey before the initiation of concurrent CBD use. Participants routinely follow up with their healthcare provider in the clinic for maintenance care. At least five weeks following the initiation of concurrent opioid and CBD use, the participant completed the post-assessment survey regarding CBD's pain effects.

## Methods

### Design

Convenience Sample

### Sample

Patients 21 years and older  
 Current opioid users  
 Chronic pain patients  
 Seeking the use of CBD

### Intervention

Opioid use  
 Current opioid use/CBD during the pre-assessment  
 Seeking pain control/Using CBD  
 Evaluation 5 weeks post-assessment

### Data

McGill Pain Scale Short Form (SF-MPQ-2)  
 Pre and Post Questionnaire  
 Composed of 31 items  
 Outcomes found in the literature  
 Effective in evaluating pain characteristics  
 Reliability and Validity  
 Cronbach's alpha showed the questionnaire's reliability and validity to be  $\alpha = 0.98$   
 Qualtrics

### Data Analysis

Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS version 6

## Results

Table 1 describes the demographic characteristics for the entire sample (N=18). The sample was composed of 12 males (66.7%) and six females (33.3%). Seventeen (94.4%) participants were African American, and one participant was Hispanic (5.6%). The ethnicity composition was the same for the pre-and post-intervention samples. Sixty-three percent (n=12) of the sample reported previous CBD use pre-intervention while 32% (n=6) had not. Within the pre-intervention sample, 52.6% (n=10) are currently using opioids, and 44.4% (n=8) do not use opioids for pain control. Participants reported a wide variety of chronic pain causes, 10.5% of the sample participants experienced back pain, and 10.5% experienced pain from arthritis. The remaining participants experienced other types of pain. Reliability analysis was conducted on the McGill Pain Questionnaire. Comprised of 31 items, Cronbach's alpha showed the questionnaire's reliability and validity to be  $\alpha = 0.98$ .

## Inferential Statistics

Pain characteristics analyzed by the Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test to compare pre-and post-pain characteristics. There was a statistically significant improvement in several of the pain characteristics from pre- to post-intervention. The results indicate that the use of CBD significantly decreased some pain characteristics, such as throbbing, sharp, hot, and burning, heavy, and aching ( $p < .001$ ).

An independent samples *t*-test was conducted to examine statistical differences between participants' pre-and post-intervention pain levels. While statistically non-significant ( $p > .05$ ), the mean pain score decreased by 0.57, a clinical significance. Chi-square analyses were conducted to examine the relationship between nominal level variables. The chi-square revealed a statistically significant decrease in daily opioid use between pre-and post-intervention groups ( $p < .01$ ). The null hypothesis was rejected, ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 5.657, p < .01$ ).

## Limitations

COVID-19  
 Small sample size  
 Cancellations of appointments  
 Unaware of CBD dosages  
 Unaware of opioid dosages

## Recommendations for Nursing Practice

Providers are responsible for using caution when recommending CBD for pain control until further studies are concluded, because of the variability of cannabinoid compounds, their active ingredients and systemic effects.

Providers are responsible for staying informed about alternative pain control methods and should share the knowledge from evidence regarding the safety and efficacy of CBD.

*Demographic Characteristics of the study sample (N = 18)*

Frequencies	n	%
<b>Gender</b>		
Male	12	66.7
Female	6	33.3
<b>Race</b>		
African American	17	94.4
Hispanic	1	5.6
<b>Are you currently using opioids?</b>		
Yes	10	52.6
No	8	44.4
<b>Have you used CBD in the past?</b>		
Yes	12	63.2
No	6	31.6
<b>What kind of pain do you have?</b>		
Hip	1	5.3
Back Pain	2	10.5
Right Ankle Pain	1	5.3
Arthritis	2	10.5
Post-Surgical Pain	1	5.3
Foot Pain	1	5.3
Peripheral Vascular Disease	1	5.3
Sciatica	1	5.3
Left Shoulder Pain	1	5.3
Knee Pain	1	5.3
Back, Knee and Shoulder	1	5.3
Sharp	1	5.3
Diabetic Foot Ulcer	1	5.3
Neuropathy and Osteoarthritis	1	5.3

*Confidence Scale of McGill Pain characteristics with Significant Wilcoxon Rank*

	Mean Rank		
	Negative	Positive	
Pre-throbbing	3.19	3.50	-2.332
Post-throbbing			.020*
Pre-sharp	4.20	3.50	-1.265
Post-sharp			.206
Pre-hot	5.13	4.00	-2.310
Post-hot			.021*
Pre-burning	4.20	3.50	-1.265
Post-burning			.206
Pre-hot and burning	4.64	3.50	-2.126
Post-hot and burning			.033*
Pre-aching	5.00	.00	-2.739
Post-aching			.006**
Pre-heavy	3.50	.00	-2.333
Post-heavy			.020*
Pre-tiring and exhausting	5.25	3.00	-2.373
Post-tiring and exhausting			.180
Pre-sickness	4.83	3.50	-1.613
Post-sickness			.107
Pre-puncturing and crawl	3.75	3.50	-1.474
Post-puncturing and crawl			.140
Pre-tingle	3.00	.00	-1.633
Post-tingle			.102

\*p = .05  
 \*\*p < .01

*Paired Samples t-test of Intervention Status by Group (N = 18)*

	M	SD
Pre-intervention pain score	6.99	2.97
Post-intervention pain score	6.42	2.25

$T_{(17)} = 0.369, p > .05, 95\% \text{ C.I.} = -.723-1.85$

*Pre and Post Mean, standard deviation, and range (N = 18)*

Variable	M	SD	Range
Age	44.4	15.6	24-66

### Chi-Square between Pre-intervention and Post-intervention Opioid Use

Daily use of opioids	Postintervention	Total
	n	
Yes	7	
No	11	18

$\chi^2_{(1)} = 5.657, p < .01$

## References

- Baron, E. P., Lucas, P., Eades, J., & Hoque, O. (2018). Patterns of medicinal cannabis use, strain analysis, and substitution effect among patients with migraine, headache, arthritis, and chronic pain in a medicinal cannabis cohort. *The Journal of Headache and Pain, 19*(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s10194-018-0862-2>
- Bruni, N., Della Pepa, C., Oliaro-Bosso, S., Pessione, E., Gastaldi, D., & Dosio, F. (2018). Cannabinoid delivery stems for pain and Inflammation treatment. *Molecules, 23*(10), 2478. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s10194-018-0862-2>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017). Understanding the epidemic. <https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/epidemic>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018). Marijuana and public health: what are the health effects of Marijuana. <https://www.cdc.gov/marijuana/index.htm>
- Capasso, A., Weaver, R., & Burkman, E. (2020). Evaluation of the effects of CBD hemp extract on opioid use and quality of life indicators in chronic pain patients: A prospective cohort study. *Postgraduate Medicine, 132*(1), 56-61.
- Corroon, J., & Kight, R. (2018). Regulatory status of cannabidiol in the United States: A perspective. *Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research, 3*(1), 190-194. <https://doi.org/10.1089/can.2018.000>
- Dowell, D., Haegerich, T.M., & Chou, R. (2016). CDC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain: United States 2016. *JAMA, 315*(15), 1624-1645. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.1464>
- Hamell, D. C., Zhang, L. P., Ma, F., Abshire, S. M., Mellwrath, S. L., Stinchcomb, A. L., & Westlund, K. N. (2016). Transdermal cannabidiol reduces inflammation and pain-related behaviors in a rat model of arthritis. *European Journal of Pain, 20*(6), 936-948.
- Melzack, R. (1987). The short-form McGill pain questionnaire. *Pain, 30*(2), 191-197.
- Seth, P., Scholl, L., Rudd, R. A., & Bacon, S. (2018). Overdose Deaths Involving Opioids, Cocaine, and Psychostimulants - United States, 2015-2016. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 67*(12), 349-358. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6712a1>
- Treede, R. D., Rief, W., Barke, A., Aziz, Q., Bennett, M. I., Benoliel, R., & Giambardino, M. A. (2015). A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. *Pain, 156*(6), 1003.
- Wiese, B., Wilson-Poe A.R. (2018) Emerging evidence for cannabis' role in opioid use disorder. *Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research, 3*(1), 179-189. <https://doi.org/10.1089/can.2018.0022>

## Acknowledgements

I am grateful for my committee members' guidance, Dr. Shellye Vardaman, Dr. Sabrina Kelley, and Dr. Felicia Renales. Without their support and guidance throughout this project, completion would not have been possible. Thank you for your countless editorial skills and feedback.

